EXHIBIT A

1 2	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ELISE D. KLEIN, SB# 111712 E-Mail: Elise.Klein@lewisbrisbois.com 633 West 5 th Street, Suite 4000 Los Angeles, California 90071 Telephone: 213.250.1800 Facsimile: 213.250.7900			
3 4				
5 6 7	Attorneys for Defendants ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. and ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION			
10				
11	SALINAS VALLEY MEMORIAL		CASE NO. 5:17-CV-3887-LHK	
12	HEALTHCARE SYSTEM,		DEFENDANTS ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. and ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN'S RESPONSES TO	
13	Plaintiff,			
14	VS.	IDI ICTS	PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND COPYING OF	
15 16	ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC., ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN, Defendants.		DOCUMENTS AND THINGS	
17				
18	——————————————————————————————————————	th attack		
19	PROPOUNDING PARTY:	Plaintiff, SALI	NAS VALLEY MEMORIAL HEALTHCARE	
20		SYSTEM		
21	RESPONDING PARTY:	Defendants, ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC.,		
22		ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. EMPLOYEE		
23		BENEFIT PLAN		
24	SET NO.:	1		
25	Defendants Envirotech Molded Products, Inc. and Envirotech Molded Products, Inc.			
26	Employee Benefit Plan ("Defendants"), by their attorneys and in accordance with Rule 34 of the			
27	Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and other applicable law, hereby responds to the first set of			
28	4814-7523-7214.1 5:17-CV-3887-LHF			
	DEFENDANTS ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. and ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND COPYING OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS			

COPYING OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS

BISGAARD & SMITH LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Defendants also object because the request seeks confidential and proprietary information.

Subject to and without waiving such objections, Defendants will produce the agreement between Envirotech and ELAP in effect during 2016 subject to the parties negotiating a confidentiality agreement and/or entry of a protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

All contracts or agreements between MBA Benefit Administrators, Inc. and ELAP Services, Inc., that relate to the Plan.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 3:

Defendants object to this request because it is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties' resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Defendants also object because the request seeks confidential and proprietary information.

Subject to and without waiving such objections, Defendants will produce any responsive documents in their possession, if they exist, subject to the parties negotiating a confidentiality agreement and/or entry of a protective order.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

All contracts that cover, in whole or in part, any liability that Envirotech or the Plan may have had for medical expenses incurred under the Plan during calendar year 2016, including, but not limited to, "stop loss" contracts, reinsurance contracts, or indemnification agreements, regardless of whether those contracts were executed before or after the inception of this litigation.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 4:

Defendants object to this request because it is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the

4814-7523-7214.1 3 5:17-CV-3887-LH

action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties' resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Defendants also object because the request seeks confidential and proprietary information. Defendants further object because the request is overly broad.

REOUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

All agreements pursuant to which any entity agrees to pay, in whole or in part, for Envirotech's and/or the Plan's defense of this lawsuit, including but not limited to attorney's fees and costs.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 5:

Defendants object to this request because it is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties' resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Defendants also object because the request seeks confidential and proprietary information.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

All contracts that govern to the administration of medical reimbursement claims submitted to the Plan during calendar year 2016 by any third party, including, but not limited to, any Administrative Service Agreements.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 6:

Defendants object to this request because it is vague and ambiguous. Defendant further object because the request is overly broad in that it is not limited to contracts pertaining to the claims at issue in this action. Defendants object because the request is duplicative of previous requests. Defendants object to this request because it is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties'

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

All documents that You have received from MBA Benefit Administrators that refer to "The Open Solution."

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 13:

Defendants object to this request because it is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties' resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Defendants also object because the request is vague, ambiguous, and overly broad.

REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

All communications that You have had with any insurance broker or consultant regarding MBA Benefit Administrators or ELAP Services, Inc.

RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION NO. 14:

Defendants object to this request because it is not relevant to any party's claim or defense and proportional to the needs of the case, considering the importance of the issues at stake in the action, the amount in controversy, the parties' relative access to relevant information, the parties' resources, the importance of the discovery in resolving the issues, and whether the burden or expense of the proposed discovery outweighs its likely benefit. Defendants also object because the request is overly broad.

DATED: February 20, 2018

LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP

By:

Elise D. Klein

Attorneys for Defendants ENVIROTECH

MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. and

ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC.

EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN

27

28

4814-7523-7214.1

5:17-CV-3887-LHK

FEDERAL COURT PROOF OF SERVICE 1 Salinas Valley Memorial Healthcare vs. Envirotech - Case No. 5:17-cv-03887-LHK 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 At the time of service, I was over 18 years of age and not a party to the action. My business address is 633 West 5th Street, Suite 4000, Los Angeles, ĈA 90071. I am employed in 4 the office of a member of the bar of this Court at whose direction the service was made. 5 On February 20, 2018, I served the following document(s): **DEFENDANTS** 6 ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. and ENVIROTECH MOLDED PRODUCTS, INC. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLAN'S RESPONSES TO PLAINTIFF'S FIRST REQUEST FOR INSPECTION AND COPYING OF DOCUMENTS AND THINGS 7 8 I served the documents on the following persons at the following addresses (including fax 9 numbers and e-mail addresses, if applicable): Eric D. Chan 11 Hooper, Lundy & Bookman, P.C. 1875 Century Park East, Suite 1600 12 Los Angeles, CA 90067-2517 Tel: (310)551-8111 13 Fax: (310) 551-8181 Email: echan@health-law.com 14 15 16 The documents were served by the following means: (BY U.S. MAIL) I enclosed the documents in a sealed envelope or package addressed to 17 the persons at the addresses listed above and I deposited the sealed envelope or package X 18 with the U.S. Postal Service, with the postage fully prepaid. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America and the 19 State of California that the foregoing is true and correct. 20 Executed on February 20, 2018, at Los Angeles, California. 21 Farah Modera, 22 23 24 25 26 27 5:17-CV-3887-LHK 28

& SMITH LLP

4814-7523-7214.1